

Steering Committee March 2020 Meeting Summary

Attendance

- Information not available

Key Summary Points

- **Approval of past meeting notes:**

- Notes say Senior Services co-chair position is open, but that position has been filled by Ann Davis
- Accepted unanimously

- **Review Final Report from MMOE**

- Doug and Kim reviewed OE7 report and discussed enrollment numbers, barriers to enrolling large amounts of individuals, suggestions for future enrollment events
 - Marketplace enrollment events are getting really low turnout; most of the people who attend are eligible for Medicaid anyway
- The group wanted to focus on policy changes that impact enrollment/eligibility during 2020 (work requirements, public charge); now that work requirements are halted, there's more bandwidth to focus on issues like access
- There is capacity/interest to focus on other areas:
 - The burden of re-enrollment/redetermination
 - Policy-level focus on disabled, aged and blind populations
 - Collecting data on/tracking redetermination
 - Deep look at uninsured pockets in Washtenaw County
 - After enrollment, connecting individuals to access.

- **WHI Opioid Project Updates**

- New co-chairs: Juan Marquez and Sara Szczotka
- Opioid summit review; the group is in the planning stages for the next summit
- Reviewed community recommendations
- Is there an opportunity for the Opioid Project and MHSUD work groups to help with the SIP advocacy/policy work with WHI?
- Keeping in mind the focus on opioids should not stop the focus on other substance use
- BCBS has a safety net symposium every year; it would be great to include CHRT or WHI; focus on stigma during one session

- **Review WHI Policy Proposal: Specialty Integrated Plans**

Level setting

- WHI settled on targeted dissemination; background discussion on SIPs and PIHPs
 - The state wants clinical, financial, and other integration
 - The state wants people to have choice of plans; consistency of services across the state; robust networks; same quality monitoring as Medicaid health plans
- Providers have their own perceptions of what's happening; WHI should present balanced and factual information to limit speculation
- Safety net community benefit issue – CMH does a lot more than what is attached to the billing code (system navigation, crisis services, etc.)
- There are not any great examples of this happening in other states to look to
- Practical experience of employees at PIHPs should be utilized
 - What happens to the PIHP functions regarding substance use coordination?
- Stakeholders in this work: consumers, CMH/PHIP, health plans, health care providers (health systems), governance bodies, FQHCs

Staging

- What information, when?
 - Explaining what is happening in other states; what could we do in Michigan? In Washtenaw County?
 - Explaining what Michigan is doing; where are we now? What is being proposed?
 - What are the unknowns? That will impact Washtenaw County the most?
- What content area should be our focus?
 - Take the muddiest areas (safety net, substance use groups) and examine those; provide possible scenarios to the state/other consumers
 - If looking at what is happening in other states, pay attention to what this looked like before their changes were implemented; implications for lessons learned depending on context
 - What is important to our programming that we want to protect?
 - Who is the mild to moderate population?
- Who should get this information?
 - The public – discuss what programs may change
 - Legislature – awareness of potential implications of differences in funding
 - Case studies in context once/month: here's an example in Washtenaw County that raises questions about this approach
 - Ex: jails; how many cases are there likely to be? Cost under the old plan and new plan?
 - WHSUD work group could lead this
- **SIM Intervention Updates**
 - Dr. Bateman has similar experience in New York
 - Helped build their safety net system
 - CHW system consultant

March 10th, 2020 Attachment A

- Recently moved to Ann Arbor, he's interested in attending the SIM meetings and may take on a leadership role

Action Items

- **Matt Hill** will discuss with the MH/SUD committee chairs the best approach to developing the case studies; possibly using a sub committee of the workgroup.

Next Meeting

Tuesday, April 14, 2020 10:00 –11:30 AM