

## Steering Committee March 2020 Meeting Summary

### Attendance

---

- Information not available

### Key Summary Points

---

- **Approval of past meeting notes:**

- Notes say Senior Services co-chair position is open, but that position has been filled by Ann Davis
- Accepted unanimously

- **Review Final Report from MMOE**

- Doug and Kim reviewed OE7 report and discussed enrollment numbers, barriers to enrolling large amounts of individuals, suggestions for future enrollment events
  - Marketplace enrollment events are getting really low turnout; most of the people who attend are eligible for Medicaid anyway
- The group wanted to focus on policy changes that impact enrollment/eligibility during 2020 (work requirements, public charge); now that work requirements are halted, there's more bandwidth to focus on issues like access
- There is capacity/interest to focus on other areas:
  - The burden of re-enrollment/redetermination
    - Policy-level focus on disabled, aged and blind populations
    - Collecting data on/tracking redetermination
  - Deep look at uninsured pockets in Washtenaw County
  - After enrollment, connecting individuals to access.

- **WHI Opioid Project Updates**

- New co-chairs: Juan Marquez and Sara Szcotka
- Opioid summit review; the group is in the planning stages for the next summit
- Reviewed community recommendations
- Is there an opportunity for the Opioid Project and MHSUD work groups to help with the SIP advocacy/policy work with WHI?
- Keeping in mind the focus on opioids should not stop the focus on other substance use
- BCBS has a safety net symposium every year; it would be great to include CHRT or WHI; focus on stigma during one session

- **Review WHI Policy Proposal: Specialty Integrated Plans**

Level setting

- WHI settled on targeted dissemination; background discussion on SIPs and PIHPs
  - The state wants clinical, financial, and other integration
  - The state wants people to have choice of plans; consistency of services across the state; robust networks; same quality monitoring as Medicaid health plans
- Providers have their own perceptions of what's happening; WHI should present balanced and factual information to limit speculation
- Safety net community benefit issue – CMH does a lot more than what is attached to the billing code (system navigation, crisis services, etc.)
- There are not any great examples of this happening in other states to look to
- Practical experience of employees at PIHPs should be utilized
  - What happens to the PIHP functions regarding substance use coordination?
- Stakeholders in this work: consumers, CMH/PHIP, health plans, health care providers (health systems), governance bodies, FQHCs

### Staging

- What information, when?
  - Explaining what is happening in other states; what could we do in Michigan? In Washtenaw County?
  - Explaining what Michigan is doing; where are we now? What is being proposed?
  - What are the unknowns? That will impact Washtenaw County the most?
- What content area should be our focus?
  - Take the muddiest areas (safety net, substance use groups) and examine those; provide possible scenarios to the state/other consumers
  - If looking at what is happening in other states, pay attention to what this looked like before their changes were implemented; implications for lessons learned depending on context
  - What is important to our programming that we want to protect?
  - Who is the mild to moderate population?
- Who should get this information?
  - The public – discuss what programs may change
  - Legislature – awareness of potential implications of differences in funding
    - Case studies in context once/month: here's an example in Washtenaw County that raises questions about this approach
      - Ex: jails; how many cases are there likely to be? Cost under the old plan and new plan?
      - WHSUD work group could lead this
- **SIM Intervention Updates**
  - Dr. Bateman has similar experience in New York
    - Helped build their safety net system
    - CHW system consultant

March 10th, 2020 Attachment A

- Recently moved to Ann Arbor, he's interested in attending the SIM meetings and may take on a leadership role

### Action Items

---

- **Matt Hill** will discuss with the MH/SUD committee chairs the best approach to developing the case studies; possibly using a sub committee of the workgroup.

### Next Meeting

---

Tuesday, April 14, 2020 10:00 –11:30 AM